UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

NO. 24-cr-10189-AK

v.

HAROON MOHSINI, Defendant.

ORDER ON EXCLUDABLE DELAY

KELLEY, U.S.M.J.

Defendant had an initial status conference scheduled for March 7, 2025. The government filed an assented-to motion to continue the conference due to a scheduling issue. (#33.) The matter is continued to March 24, 2025 at 10:30 a.m. by zoom for a status conference.

The court finds that the interests of justice in this case, *i.e.*, to allow defendant time to prepare the case for disposition, outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant for a trial within seventy days. Accordingly, with the agreement of the parties, it is hereby ORDERED that, pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the Clerk of this Court enter excludable time for the period from March 7, 2025, up to and including March 24, 2025.

¹ The parties are hereby advised that under the provisions of Rule 2(b) of the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, any party may move for reconsideration by a district judge of the determination(s) and order(s) set forth herein within fourteen (14) days after receipt of a copy of this order, unless a different time is prescribed by this court or the district judge. The party seeking reconsideration shall file with the Clerk of this Court, and serve upon all parties, a written notice of the motion which shall specifically designate the order or part thereof to be reconsidered and the basis for the objection thereto. The district judge, upon timely motion, shall reconsider the magistrate judge's order and set aside any portion thereof found to be clearly erroneous in fact or contrary to law. The parties are further advised that the United States Court of Appeals for this Circuit has indicated that failure to comply with this rule shall preclude further appellate review. See Phinney v. Wentworth Douglas Hospital,

/s/ M. Page Kelley
M. PAGE KELLEY
United States Magistrate Judge

_

¹⁹⁹ F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 1999); <u>Sunview Condo. Ass'n v. Flexel Int'l</u>, 116 F.3d 962 (1st Cir. 1997); <u>Pagano v. Frank</u>, 983 F.2d 343 (1st Cir. 1993).